

Appl. No. 10/786,004
Reply Dated July 22, 2008
Reply to Office action of June 9, 2008

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

Please replace FIGS. 1 to 8 with the eight (8) replacement sheets showing FIGS. 1 to 8 of the Appendix provided herewith.

Appl. No. 10/786,004
Reply Dated July 22, 2008
Reply to Office action of June 9, 2008

REMARKS

This submission accompanies a request for continued examination filed herewith.

Interview Summary

Applicants thank Examiners Gupta and Shaw for participating in a telephone interview with the Applicants' Representative (Kendrick Lo) on July 18, 2008.

The subject matter of claims 1 and 2 were discussed. The relevance of U.S. Publication No. 20050044197 in the name of Ray Y. Lai (hereinafter "Lai") to the claimed subject matter was also discussed. In particular, the manner in which optimization is achieved in Lai and the properties of the web service descriptions being processed in the Applicants' claimed embodiments were discussed.

No agreement was reached on the claims.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC 102

Claims 1-50 stand rejected in this application under 35 U.S.C. 102 as being anticipated by Lai. Applicants respectfully traverse all rejections.

The independent claims have been amended to clarify that a web service description file is received (see e.g. paragraph [0057] lines 1-2 of the application as filed), and a second web service description file comprising the optimized web service description is created (see e.g. paragraph [0058] lines 3-4 of the application as filed). Claims 2 and 25 have been amended to clarify that both web service description files are in Web Service Description Language (WSDL),

Appl. No. 10/786,004
Reply Dated July 22, 2008
Reply to Office action of June 9, 2008

in respect of at least one embodiment (see e.g. paragraph [0048] of the application as filed. No new matter has been added.

As discussed in the interview, the claimed embodiments require that a first web service description file be received, and a second web service description file be created as output for transmission to a mobile device. The second web service description file comprises an optimized web service description, wherein symbolic references in the web service description of the first web service description file are resolved, in the specific manner set forth in the independent claims. The combination of features recited in the independent claims is not disclosed in Lai. It is further submitted that Lai also does not anticipate the subject matter of the dependent claims for at least the same reasons. Withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 is respectfully requested.

A number of minor amendments have been made to the dependent claims to ensure consistency between terms and to recite acts of the method by name. Replacement drawings have also been submitted, in which the originally filed drawings have been formalized for publication purposes. No new matter has been added.

Applicants respectfully submit that each of claims 1-3, 6-26, and 29-50 is now in form for allowance, and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
Bereskin & Parr
Agents for the Applicants

By 
Kendrick Lo
Reg. No. 54,948
(416) 364-7311

Appl. No. 10/786,004
Reply Dated July 22, 2008
Reply to Office action of June 9, 2008

APPENDIX

Replacement sheets 1-8.